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Integration of on-chip field-effect transistor switches with dopantless Si/SiGe quantum dots for high-throughput testing
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Measuring multiple quantum devices on a single chip increases characterization throughput and enables testing of device repeatability, process yield, and systematic variations in device design. We present a method that uses on-chip field-effect transistor switches to enable multiplexed cryogenic measurements of double quantum dot Si/SiGe devices. Multiplexing enables the characterization of a number of devices that scales exponentially with the number of external wires, a key capability given the significant constraints on cryostat wiring. Using this approach, we characterize three quantum-point contact channels and compare threshold voltages for accumulation and pinch-off voltages during a single cool-down of a dilution refrigerator.

© 2013 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4807768]

The fabrication of quantum dots in semiconductor heterostructures is challenging. While it is common to make many devices in parallel, typically only one is wired up for a given dilution refrigerator cool-down. Because there is statistical variation in the quality of the devices, there is no reason to believe that the first device which shows some level of proper functioning is the best device available. Nonetheless, because taking a working sample out of a cryostat involves time and risk, in practice the first working device is often the only one that is measured. While much progress has been made using the conventional approach of measuring one device per chip,1–3 testing multiple devices during a single cryostat cool-down would significantly enhance efficiency. Furthermore, measuring multiple samples during a single cool-down would enable studying systematic variations in device design.

Semiconductor quantum devices are becoming increasingly complex, driven in part by a desire to develop and understand the large variety of qubits such structures can host.5–10 While simple device designs in doped heterostructures require only a few gates,11 the recent transitions to accumulation-mode, undoped devices5,12 and to more complicated depletion gate designs13 necessitate many more electrical connections per device. The devices discussed below each have 22 connections (11 depletion gates, 5 accumulation gates, and 6 ohmic contacts); simply wiring multiple devices in parallel would require more wires than are conventionally available in low-temperature cryostats. In this context, on-chip multiplexing enables convenient, low-risk testing of multiple devices per chip and per cryostat cool-down.

This letter presents a method to improve the throughput for testing quantum devices. We use undoped, accumulation-mode Si/SiGe with two layers of electrostatic gates.12 We present a method for wiring, cooling, and measurement of four double dot structures at a time using integrated field-effect transistor (FET) switches on the sample. Our heterostructures are undoped and have a positive threshold for accumulation, so each quantum device contains no free carriers unless a positive voltage is applied to the accumulation gates. Therefore, multiplexing solely the accumulation gates enables independent measurement of all the quantum devices. The multiplexer makes use of 2 n switch control lines for 2 n dot structures.14 The multiplexed, four-device chip we describe here is controlled with a total of 22 DC lines for ohmic contacts, depletion gates, and accumulation gates, and an additional 4 DC switch control lines are used for the multiplexer, resulting in a total of 26 connections. This can be compared to the 37 connections that would be required without the multiplexer. The scaling benefits of the multiplexing approach increase exponentially with n.

The method presented here should be applicable to accumulation-mode quantum devices made from any semiconductor-based two-dimensional electron system, including Si metal-oxide-semiconductor approaches,15,16 donor-based devices,17 and non-modulation doped GaAs.18 Our method may be extended to other semiconductor heterostructures where depletion or accumulation mode switches are possible—such as doped Si/SiGe, GaAs, or InAs (Refs. 19–21)—by adding a second layer of gates to those devices.

Fig. 1(a) illustrates how eight double quantum dots could be multiplexed using 14 switch blocks (yellow) and six switch control lines (labeled S1-S6) wired to multiple switches in parallel. The accumulation gate bus (red) consists of 5 accumulation gate voltage lines that run between the switch blocks and finally to each double dot structure. A accumulation gate voltages can be applied to a single double dot structure by applying positive voltage to the correct switch control lines. For instance, accumulation gate voltages can be applied only to device QD1 by switching control lines S1, S3, and S5 to positive voltage while leaving S2, S4, and S6 at zero or negative voltage. Current flows through a structure only if its accumulation gate voltage is positive, so all DC and RF gates (green) and ohmic connections can be wired in parallel to every double dot structure. We do not expect the presence of the FET network to have significant...
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when the switch is off, the biased positive gate is referenced relative to the left ohmic contact to induce a 2DEG above the threshold voltage. The switches in the switch block work like traditional accumulation-mode FET switches, except electrons are confined to a two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG). Fig. 1(c) illustrates the basic layout of a single switch. A bias is applied to the left ohmic contact, which in the multiplexed four-device structure that we fabricated is embedded in the 20 × 80 μm² mesa of active heterostructure. An accumulation gate, overlapping the right and left ohmic contacts (18 × 20 μm²) as well as the material in between, is biased positive relative to the left ohmic contact to induce a 2DEG between the contacts. When the switch is off, the isolation is better than 10 GΩ. Because the gate voltage must be referenced relative to the ohmic contacts, the switches must operate at approximately twice the accumulation threshold of the material, since the accumulation gates themselves operate above the threshold voltage.

Fig. 1(d) shows the layout of a four-double-dot device. The three electrical buses provide access to (1) DC gate and ohmic contacts, (2) accumulation gate lines, and (3) RF connections to some of the gates. The accumulation gate bus is multiplexed by the six switch blocks connected to the four switch control lines S1-S4. To minimize RF cross-talk, the RF gates are separated from the other gates and do not overlap any other structures, which requires one RF bus per two devices.

Figs. 1(e) and 1(f) show a false color image and the unmodified image, respectively, of a fabricated device with four multiplexed double quantum dots, each with two integrated charge sensors. The colors in Fig. 1(e) correspond to the various buses from Fig. 1(d). The device is fabricated in a Si/SiGe heterostructure grown using chemical vapor deposition on a SiGe (001) substrate. A 800 nm Si0.7Ge0.3 buffer is deposited, followed by a 12 nm thick strained Si well. A 32 nm Si0.7Ge0.3 layer is then deposited, followed by a 1 nm thick Si cap layer. To avoid unwanted accumulation and leakage, most of the substrate is etched below the Si well with reactive ion etching, leaving active material in small 100 × 100 μm² mesas for the dot structures and 20 × 80 μm² mesas for the switches. All exposed surfaces are then coated in 10 nm of Al2O3 via atomic layer deposition (ALD). Ohmic contacts to the 2DEG in the dot structures and switches are created by 20 kV phosphorous implantation activated with a 15 s, 700 °C anneal. Two layers of gates, separated by an isolating layer of 80 nm of Al2O3 deposited by ALD, are defined by a combination of photo- and electron-beam lithography and deposited by electron-beam evaporation of 1.7 nm Ti/40 nm Au. Interconnects between the two layers of gates are made by etching vias in the Al2O3 with dilute HF before depositing the second layer of gates. Fig. 1(g) shows a single double dot structure, and Figs. 1(h) and 1(i) show the fine features of the double dot gate structure.

To test our multiplexed sample design, we measured the accumulation threshold and pinch-off characteristics of a quantum point contact (QPC) channel (labelled QPC in Fig. 1(h)) on three of the four dot structures during one refrigerator cooldown to ~200 mK. The fourth dot structure could not be measured due to a failed wire bond for one of the multiplexing switches. To measure the accumulation threshold, we applied a small 43 μV bias across the ohmics and measured current flow through the channel while increasing the
as shown in Figs. 2(d)–2(f), each of the three channels sweep the QPC gate negative to pinch off the conduction to 0.9 V for the bottom-right device. We then ranging from 0.31 V above accumulation threshold for the curve just past where accumulation levels become stable, and the accumulation gate at a point on the accumulation measurements. Here, we start with the QPC gate at zero volts robust against repeated illumination.

chip. As shown in Figs. 2(a)–2(c), while the accumulation gate voltage (AG in Fig. 1(i)) over the QPC is unique. After testing, the structures were imaged in a scanning electron microscope. No discernible differences in device properties arise from microscopic differences in gate geometry or heterostructure and material defects significant for 20 s with a laser diode between tests to reset the device. As shown in Figs. 2(a)–2(c), while the accumulation threshold for a device shifts after resetting, the character of (the number and sharpness of the peaks in the curves) is qualitatively similar for and characteristic of each specific QPC channel, analogous to a device fingerprint. (d)–(f) QPC current $I_{QPC}$ as a function of the QPC depletion gate voltage $V_{QPC}$ for the top-left (a), bottom-left (b), and bottom-right (c) devices, taken at the accumulation gate voltages. Curves have been offset by 0.3 nA for clarity. We again observe that the shape of the curves is similar in each trial for a given device.

accumulation gate voltage (AG in Fig. 1(i)) over the QPC channel, with all other gates held at zero. For each device we repeated the measurement three times, illuminating the sample for 20 s with a laser diode between tests to reset the device. As shown in Figs. 2(a)–2(c), while the accumulation threshold for a device shifts after resetting, the character of the curve (the relative location of peaks and the number of peaks and dips) remains similar. The differences in the accumulation behaviors indicate that nanoscale differences in gate geometry or heterostructure and material defects significantly affect device performance and that these effects are robust against repeated illumination.

We also tested QPC pinch-off in all three channels, again repeating the experiment with a reset of the device between measurements. Here, we start with the QPC gate at zero volts and the accumulation gate at a point on the accumulation curve just past where accumulation levels become stable, ranging from 0.31 V above accumulation threshold for the top-left device to 0.9 V for the bottom-right device. We then sweep the QPC gate negative to pinch off the conduction channel. As shown in Figs. 2(d)–2(f), each of the three channels is unique. After testing, the structures were imaged in a scanning electron microscope. No discernible differences were visible between the devices, suggesting that the observed differences in device properties arise from microscopic differences in the heterostructure or gate dielectrics.

We have presented a working implementation of a multiplexed structure for high-throughput testing of multiple quantum dot structures on a single chip using integrated FET switches. Multiple devices can be quickly screened for the desired characteristics and then the best device can be further tested without the time-consuming and potentially sample-ruining process of inserting and removing samples from the dilution refrigerator. Further, we have demonstrated integration of classical electrical components on-chip with quantum devices.
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Fig. 2. (a)–(c) QPC current $I_{QPC}$ ($V_{SD} = 43 \mu V$) as a function of the QPC accumulation gate voltage $V_{AG}$ for the top-left (a), bottom-left (b), and bottom-right (c) dot structures of Fig. 1(a). Each curve represents a different trial (in the order black, blue, red) after illuminating the sample. While the threshold voltage for accumulation shifts for each trial, the turn-on behavior (the number and sharpness of the peaks in the curves) is qualitatively similar for and characteristic of each specific QPC channel, analogous to a device fingerprint. (d)–(f) QPC current $I_{QPC}$ ($V_{SD} = 43 \mu V$) as a function of the QPC depletion gate voltage $V_{QPC}$ for the top-left (a), bottom-left (b), and bottom-right (c) devices, taken at the accumulation gate voltages. Curves have been offset by 0.3 nA for clarity. We again observe that the shape of the curves is similar in each trial for a given device.