

# S-duality and new rank 1 SCFTs

by

John Wittig

In collaboration with

Philip Argyres and Paul Esposito

# Argyres-Seiberg Duality (PCA & NS, 0711.0054)

$$\mathfrak{g}[d_i] \text{ w/ } \mathbf{r} \simeq \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}[\tilde{d}_i] \text{ w/ } (\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \oplus \text{SCFT}[d, \mathfrak{h}])$$

- LHS, we have a gauge group,  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with half-hypermultiplets in representations  $\mathbf{r}$ .
- RHS, we have a rank 1 superconformal fixed point with the mass dimension of the Coulomb branch vev  $d$  and flavor symmetry group  $\mathfrak{h}$ . We gauge a subgroup,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ , of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and add half-hypermultiplets charged in representations  $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$  of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

The way to think of  $N = 2$  superconformal fixed points is that the dimension of the Coulomb branch vev is equivalent to the gauge group in lagrangian theories.

# Criteria for Duality (PCA & JRW, 0712.2028)

$$\mathfrak{g}[d_i] \text{ w/ } \mathbf{r} \simeq \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}[\tilde{d}_i] \text{ w/ } (\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \oplus \text{SCFT}[d, \mathfrak{h}])$$

1. **The rank of the gauge group and spectrum of the dimensions of Coulomb branch vevs.**
  - $\{d_i\} = \{\tilde{d}_i\} \cup \{d\}$
2. **The flavor symmetry algebras.**
  - $f = \tilde{f} \oplus H$
3. **The contribution to the beta function from weakly gauging the flavor symmetry.**
  - $\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbb{T}(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) + k_{\mathfrak{h}} \cdot l_{f \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h}}$
4. **The number of marginal couplings.**
  - $2 \cdot \mathbb{T}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) = \mathbb{T}(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) + k_{\mathfrak{h}} \cdot l_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h}}$
5. **The contribution to the  $U(1)_R$  (and subsequently the  $c$  conformal anomaly) symmetry central charge.**
  - $(3/2) \cdot k_R = 24 \cdot c = 4 \cdot (|\mathfrak{g}| - |\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}|) + (|\mathbf{r}| - |\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|)$
6. **The contribution to the  $a$  conformal anomaly.**
  - $48 \cdot a = 10 \cdot (|\mathfrak{g}| - |\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}|) + (|\mathbf{r}| - |\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|)$
7. **The existence of a global  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  obstruction to gauging the flavor symmetry.**

$$4 \cdot (2 \cdot a - c) = |\mathfrak{g}|$$

- In lagrangian theories, the  $a$  and  $c$  anomalies can be computed by t' Hooft anomaly matching.
- When looking at  $N = 2$  superconformal gauge theories we find an interesting relationship amongst  $a$  and  $c$ .
- $4 \cdot (2a - c) = |\mathfrak{g}| = \sum_i (2d_i - 1)$ .
- Now looking at criteria (1), (5), and (6):  $4 \cdot (2a - c) = (2d - 1)$
- Recent work by Shapere and Tachikawa (AS & YT, 0804.1957) provides a proof that this formula is true for a large class of theories.

## $\mathbb{Z}_2$ obstruction - an example

$G_2$  w/  $8 \cdot 7 \simeq SU(2)$  w/  $(\mathbf{2} \oplus \text{SCFT}[6, Sp(5)])$

- Since the  $7$  of  $G_2$  is a real representation the flavor symmetry group is  $Sp(4)$ . If we try to gauge this  $Sp(4)$  we get a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  obstruction since there are 7 half-hypermultiplets in the  $8$ , which is pseudoreal, of  $Sp(4)$ .
- The embedding on the RHS is  $SU(2) \oplus Sp(4) \subset Sp(5)$  with  $I_{SU(2) \hookrightarrow Sp(5)} = I_{Sp(4) \hookrightarrow Sp(5)} = 1$ .
- The  $Sp(5)$  must have a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  obstruction to cancel the anomaly coming from the half-hypermultiplet in the  $\mathbf{2}$  of  $SU(2)$ .
- Therefore, the  $Sp(4)$ , on the RHS, has a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  obstruction matching the LHS.

The details of this was first worked out by Witten, An  $SU(2)$  Anomaly, Phys.Lett.B117:324-328,1982.

# Examples of Duality

|    | $\mathfrak{g}$    | $r$   | $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ | $\tilde{r}$                                       | SCFT [ $d : \mathfrak{h}$ ]                    |
|----|-------------------|---|------------------------|---|--|
| 1  | $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$  | $14 \oplus 11 \cdot 6$  | $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$       |   | $[6 : \mathbf{E}_8]$                           |
| 2  | $\mathrm{SU}(6)$  | $20 \oplus 15 \oplus \overline{15} \oplus 5 \cdot 6 \oplus 5 \cdot \bar{6}$ | $\mathrm{SU}(5)$       | $5 \oplus \bar{5} \oplus 10 \oplus \overline{10}$ | $[6 : \mathbf{E}_8]$                           |
| 3  | $\mathrm{SO}(12)$ | $3 \cdot 32 \oplus 32' \oplus 4 \cdot 12$                                   | $\mathrm{SO}(11)$      | $3 \cdot 32$                                      | $[6 : \mathbf{E}_8]$                           |
| 4  | $G_2$             | $8 \cdot 7$   | $\mathrm{SU}(2)$       | $2$   | $[6 : \mathrm{Sp}(5)]$                         |
| 5  | $\mathrm{SO}(7)$  | $4 \cdot 8 \oplus 6 \cdot 7$  | $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$       | $5 \cdot 4$                                       | $[6 : \mathrm{Sp}(5)]$                         |
| 6  | $\mathrm{SU}(6)$  | $21 \oplus \overline{21} \oplus 20 \oplus 6 \oplus \bar{6}$                 | $\mathrm{SU}(5)$       | $10 \oplus \overline{10}$                         | $[6 : \mathrm{Sp}(5)]$                         |
| 7  | $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$  | $12 \cdot 4$  | $\mathrm{SU}(2)$       |   | $[4 : \mathbf{E}_7]$                           |
| 8  | $\mathrm{SU}(4)$  | $2 \cdot 6 \oplus 6 \cdot 4 \oplus 6 \cdot \bar{4}$                         | $\mathrm{SU}(3)$       | $2 \cdot 3 \oplus 2 \cdot \bar{3}$                | $[4 : \mathbf{E}_7]$                           |
| 9  | $\mathrm{SO}(7)$  | $6 \cdot 8 \oplus 4 \cdot 7$  | $G_2$                  | $4 \cdot 7$                                       | $[4 : \mathbf{E}_7]$                           |
| 10 | $\mathrm{SO}(8)$  | $6 \cdot 8 \oplus 4 \cdot 8' \oplus 2 \cdot 8''$                            | $\mathrm{SO}(7)$       | $6 \cdot 8$                                       | $[4 : \mathbf{E}_7]$                           |
| 11 | $\mathrm{SO}(8)$  | $6 \cdot 8 \oplus 6 \cdot 8'$   | $G_2$                  |   | $[4 : \mathbf{E}_7] \oplus [4 : \mathbf{E}_7]$ |
| 12 | $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$  | $6 \cdot 5$   | $\mathrm{SU}(2)$       |   | $[4 : \mathrm{Sp}(3) \oplus \mathrm{SU}(2)]$   |
| 13 | $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$  | $4 \cdot 4 \oplus 4 \cdot 5$  | $\mathrm{SU}(2)$       | $3 \cdot 2$                                       | $[4 : \mathrm{Sp}(3) \oplus \mathrm{SU}(2)]$   |
| 14 | $\mathrm{SU}(4)$  | $10 \oplus \overline{10} \oplus 2 \cdot 4 \oplus 2 \cdot \bar{4}$           | $\mathrm{SU}(3)$       | $3 \oplus \bar{3}$                                | $[4 : \mathrm{Sp}(3) \oplus \mathrm{SU}(2)]$   |
| 15 | $\mathrm{SU}(3)$  | $6 \cdot 3 \oplus 6 \cdot \bar{3}$  | $\mathrm{SU}(2)$       | $2 \cdot 2$                                       | $[3 : \mathbf{E}_6]$                           |
| 16 | $\mathrm{SU}(4)$  | $4 \cdot 6 \oplus 4 \cdot 4 \oplus 4 \cdot \bar{4}$                         | $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$       | $6 \cdot 4$                                       | $[3 : \mathbf{E}_6]$                           |
| 17 | $\mathrm{SU}(3)$  | $3 \oplus \bar{3} \oplus 6 \oplus \bar{6}$                                  | $\mathrm{SU}(2)$       | $n \cdot 2$                                       | $[3 : \mathfrak{h}]$                           |

## Results: New SCFT's

| $d$ | $\mathfrak{h}$       | $k_{\mathfrak{h}}$                                 | $24 \cdot c$ | $48 \cdot a$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2$                |
|-----|----------------------|--|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| 6   | $E_8$                | 12   | 124          | 190          | <b>no</b>                     |
| 6   | $Sp(5)$              | 7  | 98           | 164          | <b>yes</b>                    |
| 4   | $E_7$                | 8  | 76           | 118          | <b>no</b>                     |
| 4   | $Sp(3) \oplus SU(2)$ | $5 \oplus 8$                                       | 58           | 100          | <b>yes</b> $\oplus$ <b>no</b> |
| 3   | $E_6$                | 6  | 52           | 82           | <b>no</b>                     |
| 3   | $\mathfrak{h}$       | $\frac{8-n}{ SU(2) \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{h} }$ | $38 - 2n$    | $68 - 2n$    | <b>?</b>                      |

- The central charges of the  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$ , and  $E_8$  flavor symmetry groups were confirmed by an F-theory calculation by Aharony and Tachikawa, 0711.4532.

# Seiberg-Witten Theory

- The physics is encoded by:
  - the Seiberg-Witten curve:  $y^2 = x^3 + f(u, m_i)x + g(u, m_i)$
  - the Seiberg-Witten 1-form:  $\lambda_{SW}$
- From  $N = 2$  susy,  $M^2 \geq |Z|^2$
- U(1) charges of a physical state are defined by the homology class of cycle,  $\gamma$ .
- The central charge of the state associated to  $\gamma$  is  $Z = \oint_{\gamma} \lambda_{SW}$ .
- $\lambda_{SW}$  satisfies  $\partial_u \lambda_{SW} = \frac{dx}{y} + \partial_x (*) dx$ .
- The singularities are at:  $\Delta = 4 \cdot f^3 - 27 \cdot g^2 = 0$ .
  - Physically, the singularities correspond to a breakdown of the low-energy description. This occurs when charged states become massless.

# Central Charges and Curves

Recently, Shapere and Tachikawa have used a topological twisted version of these theories to relate properties of the Seiberg-Witten curve to numerical values of the anomalies and central charges. These results allow us to get a handle on:

- the number of neutral hypermultiplets
- the number of singularities of the Seiberg-Witten curve.

In the twisted theory the measure of the path integral involves functions holomorphic in the moduli.

1. The scaling behaviour of these functions encodes the R-anomaly of the states that are becoming massless at a singularity in moduli space.
2.  $\int [du] [dq] A^\chi B^\sigma C^n e^{-S_{low-energy}}$ 
  - (a)  $[du]$  &  $[dq]$  represent vector multiplets and neutral hypermultiplets massless on moduli space.
  - (b)  $\chi$  and  $\sigma$  are the Euler characteristic and the signature of the 4-manifold.
  - (c)  $A^2 = \det \left[ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial a_j} \right]$
  - (d)  $B^8 = \text{Radical} [\Delta]$

# Results for 1D Coulomb branches

The normalization of R-charges is:  $R(\#) = 2 \cdot D(\#)$ .  
The central charges are then determined to be:

1.  $48 \cdot a = 12 \cdot R(\text{A}) + 8 \cdot R(\text{B}) + 10 \cdot r + 2 \cdot h$
2.  $24 \cdot c = 8 \cdot R(\text{B}) + 4 \cdot r + 2 \cdot h$

- (a)  $r$  is the complex dimension of the Coulomb branch.
- (b)  $h$  is the number of massless neutral hypermultiplets on moduli space.

In the case we are interested in (1 dimensional Coulomb branches) the R-charges are:

- $R(\text{A}) = d - 1$
- $R(\text{B}) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot Z \cdot d$ 
  - $Z$  is the number of singularities of the S-W curve.

Notice:

- $4 \cdot (2 \cdot a - c) = 2 \cdot R(\text{A}) + r = 2 \cdot (d - 1) + 1 = 2 \cdot d - 1$

Reproducing the relation between  $a$  and  $c$  is comforting. In the case  $r = 1$  we find the following two relations:

1.  $24 \cdot c = 2 \cdot Z \cdot d + 4 + 2 \cdot h$
2.  $k_{\mathfrak{h}} = 2 \cdot d - h$

## Results, Revisited

| $d$ | $\mathfrak{h}$       | $Z$ | $2 \cdot h$ | rep.   |
|-----|----------------------|-----|-------------|--|
| 6   | $E_8$                | 10  | 0           | <b>N/A</b>   |
| 6   | $Sp(5)$              | 7   | 10          | <b>10(s)</b>   |
| 4   | $E_7$                | 9   | 0           | <b>N/A</b>   |
| 4   | $Sp(3) \oplus SU(2)$ | 6   | (6, 0)      | <b>6 <math>\oplus</math> 1(s)</b>                    |
| 3   | $E_6$                | 8   | 0           | <b>N/A</b>   |
| 3   | $SU(3)$              | 4   | 6           | <b>3 <math>\oplus</math> <math>\bar{3}(c)</math></b> |

- Two candidate Seiberg-Witten curves with the  $E_6$  singularity and flavor symmetry  $SU(3)$  have been constructed.
- The neutral hypermultiplets account for the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  obstruction.

# Future Directions

- Use the information about the number of singularities along with other techniques to compute:
  - Seiberg-Witten curves for the new mass deformations.
  - Seiberg-Witten 1-forms for the new mass deformations.
- Attempt a classification of all mass deformations of  $N = 2$  superconformal theories with a 1D Coulomb branch.
- Attempt, using these new techniques, to extend (complete) our classification of  $N = 2$  superconformal theories with a 2D Coulomb branch.